

Proof, Implementation, and CAD Application Challenges of Axiomatic Language

Walter W. Wilson

wwwilson@acm.org

Lockheed Martin, Fort Worth, Texas

Intl. Conf. on Logic Programming 2020

<http://axiomaticlanguage.org/ICLP20RC.pdf>

http://axiomaticlanguage.org/ICLP20RC_slides.pdf

http://axiomaticlanguage.org/ICLP20RC_video.mp4

Language Goals

1. pure specification – what, not how
2. minimal, but extensible
3. metalanguage – easy to define new language features

Specification by Enumeration

Idea: Program external behavior defined by infinite set of symbolic expressions that enumerate inputs and corresponding outputs.

Recipe

- pure, definite Prolog with Lisp syntax
- higher-order generalization [HiLog]
- string variables

An Example

a set of **axioms**:

$(a\ b) .$

$((\%) \$ \$) < (\% \$) .$

generated **valid expressions**:

$(a\ b) ,$

$((a)\ b\ b) ,$

$((a))\ b\ b\ b\ b) ,$

...

Example – List Predicates

(concat (\$1) (\$2) (\$1 \$2)). ! concatenation
-> (concat (a b) (c d e) (a b c d e))

(member % (\$1 % \$2)). ! member predicate
-> (member c (a b c d))

(reverse () ()). ! reverse function
(reverse (% \$seq) (\$rev %))<
(reverse (\$seq) (\$rev)).
-> (reverse (u v) (v u))

Natural Numbers and their Addition

`(num 0)`. ! natural numbers

`(num (s %n)) < (num %n)`.

-> `(num 0)`, `(num (s 0))`, `(num (s (s 0)))`, ...

`(plus %n 0 %n) < (num %n)`. ! addition

`(plus %1 (s %2) (s %3)) < (plus %1 %2 %3)`.

-> `(plus (s 0) (s 0) (s (s 0)))` -- `1 + 1 = 2`

`(== % %)`. ! identical expressions

Proof in Axiomatic Language

a possible axiom:

$(\text{num } (s (s \%))) < (\text{num } \%). \quad ! 2+n \text{ is num if } n \text{ is num}$

– no additional valid expressions – a **valid clause**

commutativity of addition:

$(== \%3a \%3b) < (\text{plus } \%1 \%2 \%3a), (\text{plus } \%2 \%1 \%3b).$

see <http://www.axiomaticlanguage.org/proof.htm>

Implementation of Axiomatic Language

Map specification to efficient program

1. “understand” the input specification – match axioms against a knowledge base of programming concepts
2. generate efficient program from this understanding using pre-stored algorithm knowledge

Goal: Automatic transformation of straightforward specifications for most typical problems, else expert must add knowledge.

<http://axiomatichlanguage.org/BabySteps.pdf>

Application to Computer Aided Design

http://www.axiomaticlanguage.org/A_Vision_for_CAD_released.pdf

- Represent CAD data in a declarative language
 - instead of a vendor's proprietary binary file format
- High-level definitions capture engineering knowledge
- Programmability supports design automation & optimization
- Open-source geometric engine – accessible mathematics
- Long-term accessibility of design data

http://www.axiomaticlanguage.org/LOTAR_Thoughts.html

Axiomatic language is ideal host for this embedded DSL

- Elegant long-term standard for data preservation
- Can prove geometric algorithms correct

Conclusions

- Specifications – smaller, more readable, more reusable, more correct
- Minimal and pure - well-suited to proof
- CAD – a billion-dollar application!
- “Extended axiomatic language” – a form of negation
<http://www.axiomaticlanguage.org/EAL.html>
- Axiomatic language needs a home at a university
- These research challenges may need the energy of youth!